

Nº	Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
		(No support)		•		
1		Bündnis90/Di e Grünen		Insert after line 4:	Propose to discuss	Withdrawn
		Columen		Currently, it is estimated that there are around 23,000		
				wolves in Europe. Wolves have made a comeback in all		
				mainland European countries, with some regions hosting		
				populations exceeding 1,000 individuals. Additionally,		
				wolves are expanding their territories.		
				However, this ongoing spread is resulting in more frequent		
				conflicts with human activities, particularly due to		
				increasing livestock damage caused by wolves. The		
				predation of livestock by wolves is a central point of		
				contention in the wolf debate, even though it represents a		
				relatively minor issue in terms of overall numbers across		
				Europe, and the economic impact appears manageable at		
				a national level.		
				Nevertheless, the concentration of wolf-related incidents in		
				specific areas creates significant tensions with local		
				residents and communities. Adjusting the protection status		
				of wolves from strictly protected to simply protected could		
				help alleviate these local conflicts while ensuring the		
				long-term survival of wolves in Europe. Changing the protection status of wolves from strictly		
				protected to protected is the basis for being able to better		
				pacify local conflicts and at the same time quarantee the		
				wolf a permanent existence in Europe.		
				Instead of extensive protection for individual animals, the		
				focus in the future will primarily be on the management of		
				wolf populations, taking into account regional and local		
				conditions. By adjusting national legislation, it will be		
				possible to respond more quickly to threats from individual		
				animals or packs, for example, if they have learned to		
				overcome livestock fences. This will permanently		
				strengthen the acceptance of wolves in the population.		



Nº	Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
		(No support)				
2	AM-9-1	Bündnis90/Di	assessment shows that	From line 8 to 13:	Propose to discuss	Withdrawn
		e Grünen	six out of nine			
			transboundary wolf	assessment shows that six out of nine transboundary wolf		
			populations in the EU are	populations in the EU are vulnerable or near-threatened.		
			vulnerable or	Thus, the main objective of both the Bern Convention and		
			near-threatened. Thus,	the Habitats Directive – to ensure the restoration and		
				conservation of endangered species – has not yet been		
			the Bern Convention and	reached, and there is no scientific basis to support an		
			the Habitats Directive – to	EU-wide weakening of the legal protection of the		
				species. The reduction of the protection status does not		
			conservation of	imply that the wolf as a species is less safeguarded.		
			endangered species –	<u>Therefore, we strongly encourage the Commission to</u>		
			has not yet been reached,	closely monitor national initiatives aimed at protecting the		
			and there is no scientific	wolf and to take action when national measures may		
			basis to support an	threaten the recovery of wolf populations.		



1 1	Tabled by (No support)	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
3 AM-13-2		species.	Insert after line 13:	Propose to discuss	Compromise accepted
			That state is confirmed by several research papers		Insert after line 13:
			including the in-depth analysis on the situation of the		
			wolf in the European Union prepared by the		That state is confirmed by several research
			Commission and published in December 2023.		papers including the in-depth analysis on the
					situation of the wolf in the European Union
			According to that assessment, a threat to farm animals		prepared by the Commission and publishe
			caused by wolves is exaggerated as wolves kill a		in December 2023.
			minor percentage of livestock annually (0.1-0.05%).		
			Also, many effective tools to protect the livestock are		According to that assessment, a threat to
			available and there is no scientific evidence proving		farm animals caused by wolves is
			that lethal controls of the wolf population are effective		exaggerated as wolves kill a minor
			in reducing wolves attacking livestock. In addition, the		percentage of livestock annually*
			derogation system that exists already in the Habitats		(0.1-0.05%). Also, many effective tools to
			Directive allows significant flexibility for states in		protect the livestock are available and the
			dealing with specific problematic individuals and there		is no scientific evidence proving that letha
			is no realistic need for introducing any additional		controls of the wolf population are effective
			mechanism.		reducing wolves attacking livestock*. In
			"A		addition, the derogation system that exists
			"As established by the European Commission in its		already in the Habitats Directive allows
			Guidance document on the strict protection of animal		significant flexibility for states in dealing w
			species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive (Commission Notice C(2021) 7301)44, under		specific problematic individuals and there
					no realistic need for introducing any
			the current policy and related legislation, the conflicts associated with the conservation of wolves in		additional mechanism.
			Europe's multi-functional landscapes cannot be		"As established by the European
			addressed only or mainly through culling/lethal		"As established by the European Commission in its Guidance document or
			control. Lethal control was widely used in the past,		the strict protection of animal species of
			and derogations to authorise lethal control are still a		Community interest under the Habitats
			tool for the Member States, but actions to deal with		Directive (Commission Notice C(2021)
			livestock damage or other conflicts generated by		7301)44, under the current policy and rela
			wolves can be based on livestock damage prevention		legislation, the conflicts associated with the
1 1			methods and other management measures presented		conservation of wolves in Europe's
			in this document."		multi-functional landscapes cannot be
			III this documents		addressed only or mainly through
					culling/lethal control. Lethal control was
					widely used in the past, and derogations t
					authorise lethal control are still a tool for the
					Member States, but actions to deal with
					livestock damage or other conflicts
					generated by wolves can be based on
					livestock damage prevention methods and
					other management measures presented in
					this document."
					*Blanco JC and Sundseth K (2023). The
			I		cituation of the walf (Cania lunua) in the



N	Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
14	Lilles	(No support)		Proposed amendment	rie-cas proposar	CAS Decision
4	AM-13-3		species.Therefore, we want the Commission to	Insert from line 13 to 14:	Propose to discuss with AM4 and 5	CAS accepted
			cancel any plan for weakening the	Any weakening of the protection status of the wolf is also a threat of regression in the protection of other		Insert from line 13 to 14:
				large carnivores of the Habitats Directive, especially in the context of hunter's' lobby plans, striving to		Any weakening of the protection status of the wolf is also a threat of regression in
				decrease the protection status also of bears and lynx.		the protection of other large carnivores of the Habitats Directive risking to decrease
						the protection status also of bears and lynx.
5	AM-13-4	Zieloni	species.Therefore, we want the Commission to	Insert from line 13 to 14:	Propose to discuss with AM4 and 5	CAS accepted
			cancel any plan for weakening the	Wolves' role in maintaining healthy ecosystems and biodiversity is crucial and existing populations are		Insert from line 13 to 14:
			weakering the	already exposed to many threats including the ones related to traffic and illegal killing that causes a		Wolves' role in maintaining healthy ecosystems and biodiversity is important
				remarkable number of wolves' deaths in the EU.		and existing populations are already exposed to many threats including the ones related to traffic and illegal killing that causes a remarkable number of wolves' deaths in the EU.



N	Lines	Tabled by (No support)		Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
6	AM-14	1 Zieloni	Therefore, we want the Commission to cancel any plan for weakening the protection status of the wolf. And if the Commission puts forward	Delete from line 14 to 17: Therefore, we want the Commission to cancel any plan for weakening the protection status of the wolf. And if the Commission puts forward proposals for such weakening, the Parliament and the Council of Ministers should reject such proposals.	Propose to discuss	CAS accepted
7	AM-14	2 Bündnis90/Di e Grünen	Commission to cancel any plan for weakening the protection status of the wolf. And if the Commission puts forward	Delete from line 14 to 17: Therefore, we want the Commission to cancel any plan for weakening the protection status of the wolf. And if the Commission puts forward proposals for such weakening, the Parliament and the Council of Ministers should reject such proposals.	Withdrawn (see AM6)	Withdrawn
8	AM-18	1 Zieloni	the wolf, but of all species and habitats protected by the EU legislation, it is	From line 18 to 19: ForTherefore, for the sake of not only the wolf, but of all species and habitats protected by the EU legislation, it is also important and urgent that the Commission takes	Propose to accept	CAS accepted



Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
			·		
AM-23-1	(No support) EGP Committee	the Commission has sent reasoned opinions twice (!), most recently in 2015, clarifying that it is a violation of the Habitats Directive. Unless the Commission takes the case to the Court, there is major risk that other member states follow the bad example and set	the Commission has sent reasoned opinions twice (!), most recently in 2015, clarifying that it is a violation of the Habitats Directive. Unless the Commission takes the case to the Court, there is major risk that other member states follow the bad example and set reference values of only a few hundred animals for wolves and other large carnivores, and then hunt the populations down so they become fragmented into small, isolated and vulnerable remnants.		CAS accepted
			compromising the good conservation status.		
		vuillerable retilitatits.			
AM-27-1	Écologistes - Europe	down so they become fragmented into small, isolated and vulnerable remnants.	Insert after line 27: The joint presence of herds and large carnivores in grazing areas generates conflict situations between carnivores such as wolves, and human shepherds and breeders. If the latter are obliged to find answers to maintain their activity, these must be adapted and proportionate in order to respect the protection laws and to avoid a further disappearance of large carnivores. It is important that the cohabitation between human activities and the presence of wild carnivores on our territories finds its balance. The human responsibility is twofold: to protect herds of animals domestic (to guarantee the continuity of an economic activity) and ensure the sustainability of wild species such as wolves.	Propose to discuss	CAS accepted as amended Insert after line 27: The joint presence of herds and large carnivores in grazing areas generates conflict situations between carnivores such as wolves, and human shepherds and breeders. It is important that the cohabitation between human activities and the presence of wild carnivores on our territories finds its balance. The human responsibility is twofold: to protect herds of animals domestic (to guarantee the continuity of an economic activity) and ensure the sustainability of wild species such as wolves.
		(No support) AM-23-1 EGP Committee AM-27-1 Les Écologistes - Europe Ecologie Les	(No support) AM-23-1 EGP Committee the Commission has sent reasoned opinions twice (!), most recently in 2015, clarifying that it is a violation of the Habitats Directive. Unless the Commission takes the case to the Court, there is major risk that other member states follow the bad example and set reference values of only a few hundred animals for wolves and other large carnivores, and then hunt the populations down so they become fragmented into small, isolated and vulnerable remnants. AM-27-1 Les Écologistes - Europe Ecologie Les down so they become fragmented into small, isolated and vulnerable remnants.	AM-23-1 EGP Committee Ithe Commission has sent reasoned opinions twice (!), most recently in 2015, clarifying that it is a violation of the Habitats Directive. Unless the Commission takes the case to the Court, there is major risk that other member states follow the bad example and set reference values of only a few hundred animals for wolves and other large carnivores, and then hunt the populations down so they become fragmented into small, isolated and vulnerable remnants. AM-27-1 Les Ecologie Les Verts Commission takes the commission has sent reasoned opinions twice (!), most recently in 2015, clarifying that it is a violation of the Habitats Directive. Unless the Commission takes the case to the Court, there is major risk that other member states follow the bad example and set reference values of only a few hundred animals for wolves and other large carnivores, and then hunt the populations down so they become fragmented into small, isolated and vulnerable remnants. AM-27-1 Les Ecologie Les Verts AM-27-1 Les	AM-23-1 EGP



Nº	Lines	Tabled by (No support)	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
11	AM-28-1		This is not merely an issue about carnivores or the Habitats Directive. A reasoned opinion is supposed to be the last step before an infringement is taken	Insert from line 28 to 29: This is not merely an issue about carnivores or the Habitats Directive, but is a question of rule of law. A reasoned opinion is supposed to be the last step before an infringement is taken	Propose to accept	CAS accepted
12	AM-36-1	EGP Committee	Calls on the Commission to cancel any plan for weakening the protection status of the wolf	From line 36 to 37: Calls on the Commission to cancel any plan for weakeningto weaken the EU Habitats Directive and the protection status of the wolf	Propose to discuss AM12, 13, 14	Withdrawn



No	Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
				Troposed amenament	Tre GAG proposar	OAG BOOKS OF
13	AM-36-2	(No support) Zieloni		From line 36 to 37: Calls on the Commission to cancel any plan for weakeningto weaken the EU Habitats Directive and the protection status of the wolfand keep the current strict protection status in the Habitats Directive despite the likely changes in the Berne Convention	Propose to discuss AM12, 13, 14	Calls on the Commission to cancel any plan for weakeningto weaken the EU Habitats Directive and the protection status of the wolf and keep the current strict protection status in the Habitats Directive despite the likely recent changes in the Berne Convention



Nic	Lines	Tobled by	Original taxt	Drawand amandment	Dro CAS proposal	CAS Decision
Nºº	Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
4.4	414000	(No support)		F !! 00 ! 0F	D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NAPUL I
14		Rundnis90/Di		From line 36 to 37:	Propose to discuss AM12, 13, 14	Withdrawn
			to cancel any plan for			
			weakening the protection	Calls on the Commission to cancel any plan for		
			status of the wolf	weakeningclosely monitor national initiatives aimed at		
				protecting the protection status of wolf and to take action		
				when national measures may threaten the wolfrecovery of		
				wolf populations.		
				Calls on the Parliament and the Council of Ministers to		
				reject any proposal for weakening the protection status of		
				the wolf		



Nº	Lines	Tabled by (No support)	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
15	AM-36-3	Bündnis90/Di e Grünen	Calls on the Commission to take, without further delay, the infringement case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	From line 40 to 41: Calls on the Commission to take, without further delay, the promptly initiate infringement procedures, including the case regarding against Sweden concerning wolf hunting, and to present them before the Court without further delay.	Proposal for compromise wording AM15, 16, 17: Calls on the Commission to promptly initiate and resolve pending infringement procedures against member states where, despite wolves being strictly protected under the Habitats Directive, they are killed in large numbers every year through licensed hunting.	Proposal for compromise wording AM15, 16, 17: Calls on the Commission to promptly initiate
16	AM-40-1	Committee	Calls on the Commission to take, without further delay, the infringement case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	From line 40 to 41: Calls on the Commission to take, without further delay, thepending infringement cases regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Propose to discuss AM 15, 16, 17	CAS accepted as amended Proposal for compromise wording AM15, 16, 17: Calls on the Commission to promptly initiate and resolve pending infringement procedures against member states where, despite wolves being protected under the Habitats Directive, they are killed in large number of the population every year through licensed hunting.



Nº	Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
17	AM-40-2	(No support) Zieloni	Calls on the Commission to take, without further delay, the infringement case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	From line 40 to 41: Calls on the Commission to take, without further delay, thepending infringement cases regarding wolf hunting to the Courtagainst those member states where wolves in spite of being strictly protected under the Habitats Directive are killed under licenced hunting in large numbers every year	Propose to discuss AM 15, 16, 17	CAS accepted as amended Proposal for compromise wording AM15, 16, 17: Calls on the Commission to promptly initiate and resolve pending infringement procedures against member states where, despite wolves being protected under the Habitats Directive, they are killed in large number of the population every year
18	AM-41-1	PROGRESĪV IE	case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the Commission and member states to invest in biodiversity research, promote public engagement in wildlife monitoring, and encourage citizen science initiatives to better track and analyze changes in wildlife populations.		through licensed hunting. Withdrawn
19	AM-41-1	PROGRESĪV IE	case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the Commission to ensure that policies account for differences in wildlife population sizes across member states and are evidence based.	Propose to discuss AMs 18-30 Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	Withdrawn



Nº		Tabled by (No support)	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
200	AM-41-1	PROGRESĪV IE	case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Urges the Commission and member states to establish financial support mechanisms for farmers to protect their assets from damage caused by protected wildlife species and to provide timely compensation for losses incurred due to such damages.	Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	Sweden and Poland proposal (merge with AM23). Accepted Urges the Commission and member states to establish financial support mechanisms for farmers to support introducing and extending the usage of effective, non-lethal methods, to protect their assets from damage caused by protected wildlife species and to provide timely compensation for losses incurred due to such damages
21	AM-41-2	EGP Committee	case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the EU to ensure sufficient compensation for livestock damage from large carnivores to promote co-existence	Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	Withdrawn Sweden and Poland propose to accept
22	AM-41-3	Zieloni	case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the Commission and the Parliament to take action on education and distribution of scientific, evidence-based information about the wolves	Troposo to disouss rivis 10-00	Accepted



Nº	Lines	Tabled by (No support)	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
23	AM-41-4		case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the Commission and member states to actively support introducing and extending the usage of effective, non-lethal methods to protect the livestock from wolf attacks		Look at AM20
					Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	
24	AM-41-5		case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the Commission and member states to analyze possible solutions to limit the number of wolf deaths caused by traffic, including speed limits and future road locations planning		Withdrawn
					Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	
25	AM-41-6		case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the Commission and member states to effectively fight the illegal killing of wolves	Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	Calls on the Commission and the Parliament to take action on education and distribution of scientific, evidence-based information about the wolve



Nº	Lines	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
		(No support)		·		
26	AM-41-7	Zieloni	case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the Commission and member states to promote best practices on coexistence with wolves through EU Platform on Coexistence between People and Large Carnivores		Sweden and Poland propose to withdraw
					Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	
27		Les Écologistes - Europe Ecologie Les Verts	case regarding wolf hunting to the Court	Insert after line 41: Calls on the European Commission to stop reopening discussions about texts adopted during the Green Deal or before, which encourages the far right in the European Parliament to threaten all environmental legislation adopted during the last 30 years		to withdraw
					Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	



Nº		Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Pre-CAS proposal	CAS Decision
20	AM-41-8	(No support)	case regarding wolf	Insert after line 41:		withdraw
20		Écologistes -	hunting to the Court	insert after fine 41.		Withdraw
		Europe	litariting to the Court	Calls on the European Commission not to modify the		
		Ecologie Les		Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the		
		Verts		Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and		
		70.10		flora, which also protects numerous species, natural areas		
				and in particular Natura 2000 zones, which are today		
				subject to lobbies' regular attacks		
		-			Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	
29	AM-41-8		case regarding wolf	Insert after line 41:		Sweden and Poland propose to withdraw
		Écologistes -	hunting to the Court	NA/a fireth agreement as a continue to a constitution to a constit		
		Europe Ecologie Les		We furthermore request scientific studies be carried out at European level on the cohabitation between livestock		
		Verts		farming and large carnivores according to different		
		verts		territorial contexts and on the impact of current measures.		
				territorial contexts and on the impact of current measures.	Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	
30	AM-41-8	I AS	case regarding wolf	Insert after line 41:	1 Topose to discuss Aivis To-30	Sweden and Poland propose to withdraw
30		Écologistes -	hunting to the Court	misert arter fine 41.		oweden and i cland propose to withdraw
		Europe	inantang to the obtain	We demand that substantial, permanent and systematic		
		Ecologie Les		aid be implemented for protection of herds: training on the		
		Verts		presence of wolves, their biology and ethology, on		
				protection dogs, financial assistance for hiring and		
				remuneration of specialised shepherds, for the purchase of		
				electrical fences for the night, for the purchase and training		
				of protection dogs, and all proportional non-lethal and		
				<u>defense systems.</u>		
					Propose to discuss AMs 18-30	