



| Amendments to B-R5: The EGP opposes the EU-Mercosur Agreement | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| No | Lines | Tabled by (No support) | Original text | Proposed amendment | Pre-CAS proposal | CAS Decision |
| 1 | AM-4-1 | SF - Green Left | its centre while taking into account the biophysical limits of the planet. We believe in reshaping the EU's trade policy towards sustainable development and stronger human rights protection. | <p>New title: The EGP opposes the current direction of EU-Mercosur Agreement talks</p> <p>From line 3 to 5:</p> <p>its centre while taking into account the biophysical limits of the planet. We believe in reshaping thecan only support EU's trade policy towardsas long as it furthers sustainable development and stronger, human rights protection, the European Green Deal and fair competition on equal terms.</p> | Propose to discuss title at the end / Propose to discuss lines 3-5 | For discussion in Dublin |
| 2 | AM-5-1 | Bündnis90/Die Grünen | believe in reshaping the EU's trade policy towards sustainable development and stronger human rights protection. | <p>Insert from line 4 to 5:</p> <p>believe in reshaping the EU's trade policy towards sustainable development and stronger human rights protection. We also believe in the need for europe to tighten its trade realations with Latin America.</p> | Propose to discuss | For discussion in Dublin |
| 3 | AM-7-1 | EGP Committee | Therefore, we are deeply worried by the negotiations between the EU and the Mercosur countries to conclude an Association Agreement affecting 700 million people and that would be the world's most ambitious bi-regional agreement. These | <p>Insert from line 6 to 8:</p> <p>Therefore, we are deeply worried by the negotiations between the EU and the Mercosur countries to conclude an Association and Free Trade Agreement affecting 700 million people and that would be the world's most ambitious bi-regional agreement. These</p> | Proposal to accept | Withdrawn |



| Amendments to B-R5: The EGP opposes the EU-Mercosur Agreement | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---|---|--|--------------------|--------------|
| No | Lines | Tabled by (No support) | Original text | Proposed amendment | Pre-CAS proposal | CAS Decision |
| 4 | AM-14-1 | EGP Committee | Even though the texts discussed since the Joint Instrument and the Mercosur counterproposal (both were leaked) are not public, the final agreement will likely fall short of the EU demands in the Joint Instrument and even fail to meet the level of ambition shown in the EU-New Zealand Agreement, which was hailed as the “gold standard”. Mercosur countries are steadfast in their | From line 13 to 17: Even though the texts discussed since the Joint Instrument and the Mercosur counterproposal (both were leaked) are not public, the final agreement will likely fall short of the EU demands in the Joint Instrument and even fail to meet are not public, both were leaked. Both leaks indicate that the final agreement will likely fall short of the EU Green Deal requirements and by far not reach the level of ambition shown in the EU-New Zealand Agreement, which was hailed as the “gold standard”. Mercosur countries are steadfast in their | Propose to accept | CAS accepted |
| 5 | AM-16-1 | Les Écologistes - Europe Ecologie Les Verts | likely fall short of the EU demands in the Joint Instrument and even fail to meet the level of ambition shown in the EU-New Zealand Agreement, which was hailed as the “gold standard”. Mercosur countries are steadfast in their rejection of sanctions or additional sustainability requirements. The EU- | Delete from line 15 to 18: likely fall short of the EU demands in the Joint Instrument and even fail to meet the level of ambition shown in the EU-New Zealand Agreement, which was hailed as the “gold standard” . Mercosur countries are steadfast in their rejection of sanctions or additional sustainability requirements. The EU- | Propose to discuss | CAS accepted |
| 6 | AM-18-1 | EGP Committee | hailed as the “gold standard”. Mercosur countries are steadfast in their rejection of sanctions or additional sustainability requirements. The EU-Mercosur agreement will therefore be incompatible with the European Green Deal | From line 17 to 19: hailed as the “gold standard”. Mercosur countries are steadfast in their rejection of sanctions or additional requirements related to sustainability requirements and social standards . The EU-Mercosur agreement will therefore be incompatible with the European Green Deal | Propose to accept | CAS accepted |

| Amendments to B-R5: The EGP opposes the EU-Mercosur Agreement | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------------|---|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| No | Lines | Tabled by (No support) | Original text | Proposed amendment | Pre-CAS proposal | CAS Decision |
| 7 | AM-25-1 | EGP Committee | On the EU side, the attempts to pursue the trade agreement have already led to a legislative chilling effect . The long overdue animal welfare review was presumably put on the backburner because it would have impaired imports from Mercosur. In the same vein, the President of the European Commission announced a one-year delay to the Deforestation Regulation, two weeks after bilateral meetings with presidents of Mercosur countries who repeated their concerns about this supposed “trade irritant”. | From line 24 to 30: On the EU side, the attempts to pursue the trade agreement have already led to a legislative chilling effect . The long overdue animal welfare review was presumably put on the backburner because it would have impaired imports from Mercosur. In the same vein, the President of the European Commission announced a one-year delay to the Deforestation Regulation, two weeks after bilateral meetings with presidents of Mercosur countries who In a backdoor deal, the European Commission promised Mercosur countries to postpone the EU Deforestation Regulation for another year, after they repeated their concerns about this supposed “trade irritant”. | Propose to discuss | CAS accepted |
| 8 | AM-32-1 | EGP Committee | The Agreement was opposed by farmers during their protests over the winter of 2023-2024 for fear of unfair competition from unmanageable flows of imports. Despite words of comfort from the political parties in power ahead of the | Insert from line 31 to 33: The Agreement was opposed by farmers during their protests over the winter of 2023-2024 for fear of unfair competition from unmanageable flows of imports of farm produce that do not meet comparable EU production standards . Despite words of comfort from the political parties in power ahead of the | Propose to accept | For discussion in Dublin |
| 9 | AM-39-1 | EGP Committee | accordingly. EU farmers will be exposed to competition from agri-food products that do not comply with higher EU sanitary and phytosanitary standards and use toxic chemicals (fungicides, herbicides, insecticides) banned in the EU. Like | Insert from line 38 to 40: accordingly. EU farmers will be exposed to competition from agri-food products that do not comply with higher EU sanitary and phytosanitary, as well as minimum social , standards and use toxic chemicals (fungicides, herbicides, insecticides) banned in the EU. Like | Propose to accept | CAS accepted |



| Amendments to B-R5: The EGP opposes the EU-Mercosur Agreement | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---|--|--|---|------------------------------------|
| No | Lines | Tabled by (No support) | Original text | Proposed amendment | Pre-CAS proposal | CAS Decision |
| 10 | AM-40-1 | Les Écologistes - Europe Ecologie Les Verts | that do not comply with higher EU sanitary and phytosanitary standards and use toxic chemicals (fungicides, herbicides, insecticides) banned in the EU. Like farmers' organisations, we consider that the proposal floated by the Commission | Insert from line 39 to 41: that do not comply with higher EU sanitary and phytosanitary standards and use toxic chemicals (fungicides, herbicides, insecticides) banned in the EU. The recent finding from an EU audit on Brazil beef and the presence of hormones also raises concerns on the potential health impacts for consumers of the FTA. Like farmers' organisations, we consider that the proposal floated by the Commission | Compromise wording developed (EELV, SF, GroenLinks, German Greens) that do not comply with higher EU sanitary and phytosanitary standards and use toxic chemicals (fungicides, herbicides, insecticides) and hormones banned in the EU. Adequate controls must be in place to protect the health of the consumers of the FTA. Like farmers' organisations, we consider that the proposal floated by the Commission | |
| 11 | AM-45-1 | Zieloni | harm farmers. Rather than tackling root causes, the Commission only suggests that EU taxpayers foot the bill for the benefit of export sectors. | Insert from line 44 to 45: harm farmers. Rather than tackling root causes, the Commission only suggests that EU taxpayers foot the bill for the benefit of export sectors. Such an approach will lead to intensification and conservation of farmers' protests. This creates circumstances favorable for far-right movements and further weakening of democracy, European values, the rule of law, and oligarchization. Due to the huge inconsistency between imported agri-food products standards and those expected from EU farmers, the EU-Mercosur agreement could also cause a backlash against implementing European Green Deal policies. | Propose to discuss | For discussion in Dublin with AM13 |



| Amendments to B-R5: The EGP opposes the EU-Mercosur Agreement | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|--|
| Nº | Lines | Tabled by (No support) | Original text | Proposed amendment | Pre-CAS proposal | CAS Decision |
| 12 | AM-58-1 | Bündnis90/Die Grünen | pollution, and public health issues. Deforestation, which could rise by as much as 25 per cent due to the Agreement, brings the same concerns. | Insert from line 57 to 58: pollution, and public health issues. Deforestation, which could rise by as much as 25 per cent due to the Agreement, brings the same concerns. The Mercosur agreement therefore can only be concluded together with an equivalent addendum to the protection and preservation of the rainforest and protection of the indigenous people. | Propose to discuss | For discussion in Dublin |
| 13 | AM-68-1 | Les Écologistes - Europe Ecologie Les Verts | competition, including for the farming sector, have not been included among the concrete proposals, or even discussions. The process thus ignores the Strategic Report's recommendations on agriculture commissioned by the President of the European Commission. | From line 67 to 70: competition, including for the farming sector, have not been included among the concrete proposals, or even discussions. The process thus ignores the massive protests from farmers throughout Europe and the Strategic Report's recommendations on agriculture commissioned by the President of the European Commission. | Propose to discuss | For discussion in Dublin with AM11 |
| 14 | AM-89-1 | Bündnis90/Die Grünen | first and that can definitively turn the page on the "cows for cars" approach to trade. | Insert from line 88 to 89: first and that can definitively turn the page on the "cows for cars" approach to trade. We therefore demand on re-negotiate the EU-Mercosur Agreement to achieve fundamental changes – for a fair and ecological agreement. | Propose to discuss | For discussion in Dublin with AM14, 15, 16 |
| 15 | AM-93-1 | Bündnis90/Die Grünen | mandate given to the Commission | Insert after line 93: states its belief that europe needs not to be divided on trade | Propose to discuss | For discussion in Dublin with AM14, 15, 16 |
| 16 | AM-97-1 | Bündnis90/Die Grünen | against the Agreement | Insert after line 97: states its support for a trade policy in accordance with Paris Agreement. | Propose to discuss | For discussion in Dublin with AM14, 15, 16 |