



Title: **AM-144-2 to B-R4: “United in diversity”, but for real this time**

Tabled by: Stranka mladih – Zeleni Evrope

Draft text

Insert after line 144:

- Improve internal democratic processes within the EGP itself, our transparency, and, above all, honesty, because otherwise, we will not be able to sovereignly challenge authoritarian leaders as long as our internal processes are similar to the processes against political opponents, independent journalists, NGOs and others in countries where we accuse leaders of authoritarianism.

Explanation / Comment

Unfortunately, we in SMS Zeleni only fully noticed the essential lack of democracy, transparency and fairness in the EGP when the co-chairs decided to implement the termination of membership procedure, where SMS Zeleni had no right to present responses to the unfounded allegations of the fact-finding mission to the committee and after the committee's decision was made SMS Zeleni had no right to present responses to the congress delegates. Moreover, we were even promised that we would be able to send a response in the same way as the proposal for termination of membership was sent, but when we tried to do so, it was rejected even after we strictly followed the instructions. Moreover, we were additionally offered the missing e-mail addresses of the EGP members, which we are waiting for in vain for 12 days, and we are convinced that the co-chairs see the only way they can exclude the SMS Zeleni from the EGP that at least 3/4 of delegates will not be informed of the real

facts, but only by the decision of the committee, which is based on the fact-finding mission document, where, apart from two minor errors, which we in the SMS Zeleni also acknowledged and immediately corrected, everything else is either misleading or untrue; including the mention of an official website of the Ministry of the Interior. We deliberately did not write in the document itself that our internal processes are less democratic and transparent than those in the countries whose leaders we accuse of authoritarianism, although I do not know of an example from these countries where the prosecutor's office would accept a proposal 3 days before the hearing of a key witness, which did not happen in Hungary, nor Belarus, but in the EGP. Namely, based on interviews, including this with me on 7 October 2024, the committee decided to propose the Termination of our membership to the Congress on 4 October 2024, which means that the decision was made in advance before they had all the necessary information and before they could form an opinion based on real facts. I do not intend to go into the content itself, as it is not the subject of this amendment, but rather provide it to you at this link (<https://we.tl/t-GDdKfkfiSg>) to support the amendment and the explanation because, without insight into the actual situation, it would be difficult to believe that this really happened and is still happening within the EGP.